

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

CALL TO ORDER: The Mayor called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Mayor Daniel Schneider, Jr.	Present	Amy Fank-Hensley	Present
Richard Slocum	Present	Daniel Krachinsky	Present
Jodi Padrutt	Present	Eliud Rosales	Present
Moe Riggins	Present		

OTHERS PRESENT: Solicitor Bryan, Chief Nagy, Administrative Assistant Dorton, Fiscal Officer Katie Iaconis, and OHM Engineer Burgoyne

PRESENTATION OF AGENDA AND AMENDMENT OF THE AGENDA: Mr. Slocum requested that Council discuss the off-street parking ordinance, chickens, and a contract during the Unfinished Business/New Business portion of the meeting.

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:

Tony Burgoyne OHM Regarding Rt. 303 Project: Mr. Burgoyne explained the State Rt. 303 improvement project, which is focused on safety, pedestrian access, traffic calming, and downtown enhancements, has successfully secured funding through the Ohio Department of Transportation and the Ohio Public Works Commission. The project is now moving from preliminary design into detailed design and construction planning. ODOT will serve as the lead agency, which benefits the Village. ODOT will manage the project and be responsible for all regulatory requirements. That also means the project needs to adhere to ODOT's project requirements, including additional right-of-way work, public engagement, and contract documentation. Stage Two plans must be completed by late summer or early fall, so the work must commence and proceed quickly. He explained that all the engineering costs will be covered by the grant funds. The goal is to have the project ready to go out for bid around October 2027, with construction timed to align with the sewer project to minimize the disruption.

Mr. Slocum asked whether State Rt. 303 could be lowered near the Riverview light due to significant elevation differences between the road, sidewalk, and nearby driveways. Mr. Burgoyne explained the roadway portion of the project itself is primarily a resurfacing, with some widening and modifications, rather than a full reconstruction, making major elevation changes difficult. He noted, however, there may be opportunities during detailed design to adjust driveway connections, sidewalk placement, grading, and possibly lower the road slightly through milling and resurfacing techniques. It is too early to determine specifics. He also confirmed some curbing may be added in certain areas, which will require careful grading considerations between the roadway and adjacent properties. He emphasized those decisions will be addressed during the detailed design phase.

Ms. Frank-Hensley asked for clarification on what decisions are already set versus what were still flexible, particularly in response to past public confusion about features like turn lanes and when more detailed input would occur. Mr. Burgoyne explained that major elements influencing funding were largely established during the preliminary design and cannot be significantly altered without impacting the project budget, but there is still flexibility during the design phases to refine details through coordination and public engagement meetings. These discussions will focus on elements like sidewalk placement, materials, aesthetic enhancements,

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

pedestrian access, landscaping, and other community preferences. The technical requirements must ultimately comply with ODOT standards.

Mr. Najeway asked about the previously discussed left turn lane, which he thought was part of the grant proposal but is no longer included. Mr. Burgoyne clarified that while the project does include roadway widening in select areas, their traffic analysis determined that a dedicated left turn lane at Riverview was not warranted and would likely not be approved. In addition, adding that turning lane would increase costs beyond the scope of the grant and require additional right-of-way acquisition, further complicating approval with the state. Mr. Slocum confirmed that earlier planning discussions reached the same conclusion, and in response to a question, he stated that alternatives like a roundabout were also explored but ultimately deemed impractical due to right-of-way constraints. Mr. Burgoyne reinforced that the current design reflects those technical and logistical limitations.

It was determined that while the Master Plan is posted online, the actual funding application materials were not, prompting a discussion about sharing those documents publicly. Council and Mr. Burgoyne also discussed the communication structure for the project, with Mr. Burgoyne requesting a single Village point of contact. The Mayor suggested that the best person would be the Village Engineer, Ed McCabe. Mr. Burgoyne further explained that the OHM design process would run parallel with the planning work being performed by ODOT. ODOT will perform reviews at each stage, handle right-of-way and compliance requirements, and ultimately bid and manage the construction once the design is approved. The Village's responsibilities will include participating in the design and public engagement process, adopting legislation to authorize the project, and hiring a third-party inspector for the construction phase. The cost for that inspector will also be paid for through the grant proceeds.

Ms. Frank-Hensley asked for guidance on how to effectively communicate with residents about the upcoming disruptions from both the sewer and roadway projects, particularly for those directly impacted. Mr. Burgoyne emphasized the importance of proactive and clear messaging, including informing residents ahead of time about survey crews, construction activity, and safety measures. He noted that his firm will assist with the public engagement efforts in coordination with Village leadership. He acknowledged that residents would experience significant, unavoidable disruptions such as heavy equipment traffic, noise, and altered traffic patterns. Rt. 303 traffic will be one way only for a significant period. The goal is to align the sewer project and Rt. 303 corridor project, so they feel like one continuous construction project. He highlighted the need to coordinate with local safety services to maintain emergency access and noted that the construction timelines in the contract will be strictly enforced to minimize delays.

Mr. Bryan asked whether this professional services funding request from OHM would cover OHM's costs through completion of the project. Mr. Burgoyne clarified the proposed agreement encompasses the completion of all design and coordination work through the end of construction. He explained that funding for the OHM professional services work outlined in the agreement was approved by the OPWC in connection with that grant. No additional compensation requests are anticipated, and that payments for OHM's services will be drawn from the grant funds at the conclusion of each month after the work is completed and approved. He noted that a contingency amount is built into the agreement to provide for flexibility, including potential additional services if they are requested by the Village and approved in advance.

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

RT. 303 PROJECT LEGISLATION:

Resolution No. 06-2026

Sponsored by: Mayor Schneider

An Emergency Resolution Authorizing the Mayor to Execute a Professional Services Agreement Proposal from OHM Advisors for the State Rt. 303 (Main Street) Safety Improvements Project (First Reading):

Mr. Bryan read the legislation by title and asked Council how it wanted to proceed. The Mayor requested Council to vote to suspend the rules and pass the legislation this evening so the work on the project could proceed without delay. Council discussed whether to suspend the three-reading rule due to time constraints tied to the ODOT deadlines and the need to coordinate with the sewer project. Ms. Padrutt expressed concern about passing the Resolution after one reading and as emergency legislation. Other Councilmembers emphasized the need to stay on schedule, particularly given seasonal limitations and the lengthy design timeline. Mr. Slocum made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Rosales to suspend the three-reading rule. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mrs. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, no; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was adopted. Mr. Slocum made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Rosales to pass the legislation. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, no; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The Resolution was adopted.

PRESENTATION AND CONSIDERATION OF RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS:

March 10, 2026 Council Meeting Minutes: Ms. Frank-Hensley made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Krachinsky to approve the Minutes. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The minutes were approved.

March 24, 2026 Council Meeting Minutes: Ms. Frank-Hensley made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Slocum to amend the minutes to state that the Mayor was ‘invited to’ not ‘attended’ the Towpath Trail community meeting at Happy Days Lodge (since the meeting took place after the March 24 Council Meeting) and to clarify that the Mayor was speaking on behalf of Mr. Anderson in the Street Commissioner report. Roll call vote (on the motion to amend): Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mrs. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was approved. Mr. Slocum made a motion that was seconded by Ms. Frank-Hensley to adopt the minutes as amended. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The minutes were approved.

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION: Greg Canda emphasized the need for better communication and transparency by sharing notes from outside meetings more promptly with the Planning Commission, not just Council. It was pointed out that written notes were being shared at this meeting from the water meeting that took place earlier today and a recent sewer project meeting. Mr. Canda was provided with copies of those notes. Mr. Slocum suggested both distributing notes directly and posting them on the website for accessibility. It was pointed out that not everyone regularly checks the website. Council discussed other options like email distribution and creating organized folders.

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

John Najeway, speaking as both a short-term and long-term rental property owner, expressed frustration with how Council is handling the short-term rental regulations. He argued that prior efforts, including surveys and collaboration with the Planning Commission, were disregarded. He emphasized that enforcement of existing rules, rather than creating new restrictions should be the priority, noting that issues like noise and disturbances also occur with long-term residents. Those issues should be addressed through normal policing rather than specifically targeting short-term rentals. He highlighted the economic benefits that short-term rentals bring to the Village through taxes and local spending, warned of potential legal risks with overregulation, and encouraged Council to take a more measured, transparent approach that considers resident input, enforces current policies, and evaluates impacts before pursuing stricter limitations or elimination.

Daniel DeAngelo suggested that before making any further changes to the short-term rental regulations, Council should prioritize strong community engagement to ensure residents support those changes. He noted that not all short-term rentals create problems. He shared that he has lived next to one for several years, and he has had zero issues. He highlighted their benefits, such as accommodating visiting family members in town and allowing guests to experience the Village more authentically. He pointed out that short-term rentals make up only a small percentage of the housing in the community, suggesting their overall impact is limited. He argued that concerns should be addressed through better enforcement of existing rules rather than additional restrictions.

Mr. Slocum spoke on behalf of Steve Craig, who was unable to be in attendance. Mr. Slocum stated Mr. Craig urges Council to follow the previously established, collaborative process, engaging the public, Village Planner, and Planning Commission, before making further changes to the short-term rental ordinance. He warned that bypassing this process would be a mistake. Mr. Craig expressed support for maintaining a controlled level of short-term rentals and viewing them as an asset that helps preserve the Village's character and provides convenient lodging for visitors without relying on hotels. He noted that different types of rentals offer distinct experiences and emphasized issues with short-term rentals stem from enforcement shortcomings, which can be improved without discarding the existing regulations.

REPORTS

Mayor, Daniel Schneider, Jr.: The Mayor reported on a recent Zoom meeting regarding the sewer project. He explained the design work is fully complete, but the project is currently on hold due to a funding gap, with the total cost now estimated to be between 17 and 19 million dollars and only 8 million dollars formally secured. The County is exploring additional funding options, including potential support tied to Akron being relieved of its federal environmental consent decree obligations. No action is required from the Village at his time. When the project commences, residents should expect connection costs to be around \$4,274, plus the permit fee. Construction is now projected to begin in roughly two years. The Mayor emphasized that once funding is secured, the project is ready to move forward quickly, and efforts will be made to provide residents with clearer cost estimates as the timeline develops.

A report was provided on a water infrastructure meeting discussing potential collaboration with Akron as a supplier. Considerations included significant infrastructure challenges, opportunities for system improvements, and potential expansion of service areas. The formation of a committee to evaluate options and demand, as well as pursuing federal funding

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

through programs like the Water Resources Development Act were discussed. No funding from that Act has been allocated as of this time, but significant funds are potentially available from that federal source.

The Mayor also provided updates on several other matters, including thanking Ms. Frank-Hensley for providing the no overnight parking signage for the Village Akron-Peninsula Road lot. The sinkhole repairs on S. Locust have been delayed due to the weather. A guardrail project on Main Street has been referred to the Village Engineer for further evaluation. The Mayor requested Council approval to dispose of or distribute the old, unusable street markers, suggesting a first-come, first-served approach for interested residents. He reported on the recovery of a stolen marker from Kent. The new granite street markers have been installed apart from two roads. The full inventory of two extra blank markers has now been utilized. Mr. Slocum made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Rosales to authorize the Mayor to give away at no cost to residents or dispose of the old street markers. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mrs. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was approved.

Fiscal Officer, Ms. Iaconis: Ms. Iaconis shared a brief financial update, noting she is catching up after being on vacation and will have financial reports to Council soon. The new Village payroll system is being utilized. She stated revenues are currently stable, particularly property tax income. She stated it is unclear whether Summit County will follow the actions of Cuyahoga County, which no longer provides advances on property tax payments. She stated she will have a clearer picture on the tax revenues, including RITA collections, after the April 15 filing deadline. She apologized again for delays in providing detailed financial information to Council.

Street Commissioner, Mr. Anderson: The Mayor stated there was nothing to report.

Finance Liaison, Mr. Krachinsky: Mr. Krachinsky stated he had nothing to report.

Police Department: The Mayor requested that a Police Department issue be saved for an executive session.

Roads and Public Works: The Mayor requested a motion to approve funding to fix two damaged guard rails in the total amount of \$12,155. Mr. Slocum made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Rosales to authorize an amount not to exceed \$13,000 to repair the guard rails. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was approved. The Mayor noted that another guard rail was fixed by the Service Department, which saved the Village a few thousand dollars.

Planning Commission, Ms. Frank-Hensley: Ms. Frank-Hensley reported the Planning Commission's next meeting will address short-term rentals based on Council feedback. She shared some residents expressed frustration over the recent parking ordinance amendment vote and questioned whether Council is supportive of local businesses. Mr. Slocum initiated a discussion on how to move forward with the parking legislation, suggesting it could be sent back to Planning for further consideration. Ms. Riggins and Ms. Padrutt objected to sending the Ordinance back to the Planning Commission, noting that it has already been done once. They

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

reiterated their prior concerns about giving the Planning Commission too much discretion, taking the decision-making process from the BZA, and not requiring enough parking spaces for apartments. Mr. Rosales asked for clarification on those concerns. Ms. Riggins explained she has already explained her concerns with the parking requirement amendments and the ordinance's overall structure in detail in writing and at prior meetings. Ms. Padrutt emphasized her concerns about process and loss of checks and balances. Mr. Slocum and Mr. Krachinsky stated they supported the proposal for providing flexibility in addressing the Village's limited parking situation and encouraging business growth, arguing the ordinance allows the Planning Commission to make case-by-case decisions and avoid overburdening developments. Mr. Bryan noted there are differences in required decision-making criteria for the Planning Commission and the BZA. Mr. Slocum requested that the parking ordinance be put back on the agenda for next meeting once again on third reading. Mr. Bryan stated since the Ordinance was voted upon and did not pass, it would need to be reintroduced on first reading. He stated he would make sure it is on the agenda.

Zoning Officer, Mr. Collins: The Mayor shared that Mr. Collins had nothing to report.

Board of Zoning Appeals: The Mayor noted that there is a meeting scheduled for later this month. Mr. Bryan announced it will take place on April 28 at 6:00. Mr. Bryan also reported that Mr. Barnhart resigned from the Board on Friday, and there is now another opening on the Board.

Buildings and Grounds, Ms. Frank-Hensley and Ms. Riggins: Ms. Frank-Hensley asked the Mayor about the equipment at the back of the room. The Mayor stated that is part of the new equipment for the Council Chambers and confirmed that more is on the way.

Chamber of Commerce & Economic Development: Ms. Frank-Hensley shared insights from the recent meeting at Happy Days Lodge hosted by Destination Cleveland and the Chamber involving Towpath Trail communities and related organizations. The meeting reported on Towpath Trail usage and a cycling survey and highlighted the importance of creating a cohesive "trail town" experience with amenities, attractions, and strong connectivity to attract cyclists and others. She noted opportunities for collaboration regarding improved signage, better alignment with the Area Master Plan, and updating regional trail information online. Daniel DeAngelo added that regional efforts are underway to update the countywide trail map, which was last updated in 2012. He offered to share the Area Master Plan and the Conservancy's multi-use path plans with the group to ensure they are supported at the regional level. Ms. Frank-Hensley stated she forwarded the Village Area Master Plan to Destination Cleveland.

Policies & Procedures Liaison, Mr. Slocum:

Records Retention and Disposal Policy and Schedule, Public Records Standard Operating Procedures, Cybersecurity, and Events Ordinance or Policy: The Mayor introduced a discussion about the records retention and disposal policy and schedule. Mr. Slocum suggested improvements, including reorganizing the retention schedule into a clearer spreadsheet format and clarifying some of the timelines, such as retention period differences between disciplinary records and performance evaluation records. Mr. Bryan explained that certain recordings, like

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

police dash cam and body cam footage, are automatically deleted after a set period due to storage limitations, unless they are tied to an incident or report, in which case they are preserved. Additional clarification was provided around inventory records and other categories, with acknowledgment that some items need refinement. Mr. Slocum and Ms. Frank-Hensley offered to make the updates and convert the schedule into a spreadsheet or excel format.

Mr. Slocum provided an update on cybersecurity, noting he is seeking a second quote for a cyber risk assessment after already receiving one quote, while the Village's IT consultant is compiling an inventory of Village hardware and software to support the process. Options for providing employee training were also discussed. The Mayor agreed to follow up on the training information.

The discussion then shifted to record management. Ms. Padrutt highlighted the need to properly index and organize Village records, noting there are resources and volunteers available from the State. The Mayor explained the Village has already partially engaged a contractor and received a quote for digitizing Village records. Those efforts are on hold until the policy and schedule are finalized.

Ms. Padrutt stated the Village needed an updated event policy and permit form, noting the current 2009 event form is outdated. She is concerned about potential liability risks as the event season approaches. The Mayor and Council discussed an upcoming unsanctioned event for which multiple vendors have been engaged. Mr. Slocum suggested circulating proposed updated event legislation for Council's review. Ms. Riggins noted the situation could serve as a learning opportunity. It was agreed that clearer policies are needed moving forward.

Fire Board, Mr. Krachinsky: Mr. Krachinsky reported there will be two Fire Department levies on the May ballot. One is a renewal of an existing levy, and the other is an additional levy for equipment. He noted that early voting has already begun. More detailed information on the levies will be provided at the next meeting. Informational materials are being circulated locally to explain the purpose and need for the levies.

Cemetery Board, Ms. Padrutt: Ms. Padrutt stated there was nothing to report.

JEDD Board, Ms. Riggins: Ms. Riggins reported that, based on communication from Mike Anderson, the issue involving the Cuyahoga Valley Scenic Railroad payroll taxes has been resolved. Ms. Riggins stated Mr. Anderson advised her that Ms. Iaconis' financial report was accurate to the penny.

Wastewater/Stormwater/Water: The matter noted these matters have already been discussed.

Solicitor, Mr. Bryan: Mr. Bryan stated he had nothing additional to report at this time.

ADDITIONAL LEGISLATION: None.

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**

Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

UNFINISHED BUSINESS/NEW BUSINESS:

Village Contracts

Motion to Authorize the Mayor to Execute a Consultant Agreement with Aislinn Consulting LLC/Rita McMahon for Planning Assistance and Services: Mr. Bryan stated he spoke with Ms. McMahon and Ms. Iaconis regarding this contract. Ms. McMahon stated she was ok with reducing the not-to-exceed amount from \$20,000 to \$15,000, and Ms. Iaconis confirmed that sufficient funds have been budgeted for this work under the professional services line item. Ms. Frank Hensley made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Krachinsky to authorize the agreement. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was approved.

Insurance: The Mayor asked Ms. Iaconis for a quick update on insurance. Ms. Iaconis explained the Village already works with its broker, Wichert Insurance, which conducts an annual bidding process by collecting updated information and sending it to multiple insurance carriers for quotes for the different coverage areas. Ms. Iaconis is required to provide the updated application information by May 4. Ms. Padrutt clarified that prior discussions were more about ensuring the process remains effective over time rather than seeking immediate changes. Ms. Iaconis confirmed that the insurance coverage is reviewed and bid out each year through this process.

Solicitor: Ms. Padrutt proposed forming a three-person committee to review the Solicitor contract process, including advertising, conducting interviews, and making a recommendation to Council. Mr. Rosales, Mr. Slocum, and Ms. Padrutt volunteered to be on the committee. Mr. Slocum made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Rosales to appoint those individuals to the committee. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was approved.

Other Matters:

Short-Term Rentals: A discussion continued around how to proceed with short-term rental regulations, with Ms. Frank-Hensley seeking clarification on direction after prior Council and public input. Mr. Slocum made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Rosales to refer this matter back to the Planning Commission with the specific feedback provided by Council. A discussion took place about Council's preference to grandfather existing compliant rentals and improve enforcement. Ms. Frank-Hensley stated she is now unsure of her earlier position on this issue after hearing feedback from members of the community. Mr. Slocum stated he still has concerns about short-term rentals based upon the Village's population decline and the impact of non-owner-occupied rentals on community stability. It was suggested that more stringent proof of rental activity should be required and audits could be implemented. Mr. Bryan confirmed the current ordinance allows audits to be conducted. Mr. Krachinsky suggested that due to time and staff constraints, not everyone should be audited. One or two certificate holders could be randomly selected for an audit each year. Council also discussed assigning enforcement responsibilities under the ordinance to the Zoning Officer.

Due to the time and the need for an executive session, Council decided to defer any additional discussion items to the next Council Meeting.

**Record of Proceedings
Regular Council Meeting**


Held: Tuesday, April 14, 2026

EXECUTIVE SESSION (IF NECESSARY): Mr. Bryan clarified that the requested motion to enter into executive session was being made pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Sections 121.22 (G)(1) and (G)(3) for the purpose of receiving and considering a report involving the dismissal of a probationary auxiliary police officer and to have a conference with the Solicitor regarding threatened court action against the Village. That motion was made by Mr. Slocum and seconded by Mr. Rosales. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was approved. Council entered into executive session at 9:16 p.m. At 9:44 p.m., Ms. Padrutt made a motion that was seconded by Mr. Slocum to come out of executive session. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes.

Mr. Bryan announced that he was reporting on behalf of the Mayor that the Mayor sent a letter of termination to probationary, Auxiliary Police Officer Thomas O'Grady, and the Mayor was requesting Council to confirm his decision to terminate Auxiliary Officer O'Grady within his six-month probationary period for unsatisfactory performance. Mr. Slocum made that motion that was seconded by Ms. Frank-Hensley. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mr. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The motion was approved.

ADJOURNMENT: Mr. Slocum made a motion to adjourn the meeting that was seconded by Ms. Frank-Hensley. Roll call vote: Ms. Frank-Hensley, yes; Mr. Krachinsky, yes; Mrs. Slocum, yes; Ms. Padrutt, yes; Ms. Riggins, yes; Mr. Rosales, yes. The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:



Daniel Schneider Jr., Mayor

4-20-26
Date



Katie Iaconis, Fiscal Officer

4/28/2026
Date